



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,619	01/23/2002	David S. Knowles	99-0013-1	1655	
7590 11/19/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
William Cray			VY, HUNG T		
Cymer, Inc. Legal Dept. MS/4-2C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
17075 Thornmint Court			2828		
San Diego,, CA 92127			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Andienti
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant Community	10/056,619	KNOWLES ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Hung T Vy	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on app ars on th cov r sheet v	vith the correspond nc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>24 Se<i>ptember 2003</i> .</u>	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-154</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	Paul of
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		James F
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-154</u> is/are rejected.		PAUL IP
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) □ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of theapplication_from_the_Internation	al-Bureau-(PCT-Rule-17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	·	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	īce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 13

Application/Control Number: 10/056,619

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 78-154 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim 78 contains news subject matter of "4000 gas discharges per second" which is not supported by the original specification. The specification recites only the 4000 Hz (abstract) or 4000 pulses per second (paragraph 0015), which is not the same as 4000 gas discharges per second. Further, 4000 gas discharges per second is not a proper measurement unit for gas laser. Claims 79-154 depend from rejected claim 78 thereby render these dependent claims indefinite.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/056,619

Art Unit: 2828

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-77 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-77 of copending application No. 10/012,002. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all claims have two-discharge chamber with the same limitation, pulse power system, a laser beam measurement and control system.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-154 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 78-154 are rejected under rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (See above). This is new ground (s) of rejection. The examiner received only one terminal disclaimer on paper number 12. Claim 1-77 are still rejected under under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-

Application/Control Number: 10/056,619

Art Unit: 2828

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-77 of copending application No. 10/012,002.

Claims 1-77 would be allowed (if applicant files terminal disclaimer based on obviousness-type double patenting above).

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the

Art Unit: 2828

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

October 29, 2003